The A. F. of L. Weekly News Service gives news accounts and editorial interpretations of matters affecting or concerning the industrial, legislative, and political fields, and contains other information of benefit to the trade union movement.

AMERICAN FEDERATION OF LABOR

WEEKLY NEWS SERVICE

Entered as second-class matter September 30, 1915, at the Post Office at Washington, D. C., under the Act of August 24, 1912. Acceptance for mailing at special rate of postage provided for in Sec. 1103, Act of October 3, 1917, authorized July 12, 1918.

WE PLEDGE—
1 MILLION DOLLARS
IN
WAR SAVINGS BONDS
FOR VICTORY

WHOLE NO. 1663 WILLIAM GREEN, President WASHINGTON, D. C., TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 23, 1943 GEORGE MEANY, Secretary-Treasurer VOL. 33, NO. 8

FACING *the* FACTS

With PHILIP PEARL

Labor's loyal enemies—they stick with us through thick and thin—have got a new word for it—absenteeism. And they are now busily using this word as a whip and a scourge on the backs of the great army of American production soldiers.

Absenteeism is a grievous fault. We would be the last to deny it. It cuts into war production, it saps manpower, it slows down the war effort.

But are the workers who occasionally absent themselves from the job entirely and alone at fault? Is this absence altogether deliberate and voluntary? Is it caused by shirking, by irresponsibility, by lack of patriotism?

So the enemies of labor would have us believe—at least, by inference. They turn the heat on labor exclusively. The anti-labor propagandists are trying to sell the public the idea that war workers are making so much money that they can't resist the urge to take a few days off for a prolonged drunk. They are trying to create the impression that absenteeism is caused by mass hangovers.

But what are the facts?

Here They Are!

The facts are that the largest proportion of absenteeism is caused by preventable accidents and preventable illnesses; by unavoidable factors, such as blizzards, floods and transportation breakdowns; by poor housing, by fatigue resulting from excessive working hours; by shortages of materials, by bad scheduling and planning of work by management and by required appearances before Selective Service Boards and other Government agencies.

Only a tiny fraction of absenteeism results from deliberate and irresponsible waywardness by individual workers.

These facts were brought out by studies conducted by the major Federal war agencies who are most deeply concerned with the problem of absenteeism. The survey found that responsibility for absenteeism is shared by management and by local, State and Federal Government, as well as by labor. No attempt was made to hide the facts or to whitewash them. The truth was too obvious.

The toll of preventable accidents in this country since the war began is greater in deaths and disability than casualties suffered by American troops on the field of battle. Such accidents result from lack of proper safeguards, from the speed-up of war production and from the greed of profit-hungry employers, as well as from individual carelessness.

Illnesses in war factories this winter have caused excessive absence. And why? Because millions of war workers were called upon to go to communities where no proper provision for housing and heating had been made. Thousands of them are crowded in unsanitary huts and hovels and trailers and rooming houses. The high cost of living has contributed its share since adequate and proper food is out of the reach of low-paid workers. Under these conditions, disease thrives.

Remove the Causes

When people talk freely of ignorance of absenteeism, we'd like to confront them with workers who come to the job day after day only to be told by the foreman that there is no work for them because "the materials haven't arrived." These workers, who are paid on an hourly basis, might indeed grow bitter about absenteeism—their own enforced and unpaid absenteeism.

Now, about excessive working hours. This brings to mind an article we read recently in the Saturday Evening Post about a mid-west war manufacturer whose practice it is to lure his workers into putting in 70 or more hours a week by offering high overtime bonuses. This employer admits that men are ready to drop when they quit work, but he says they recuperate quickly when they see their pay envelopes. What he doesn't realize is that the human body is a delicate machine and will break down under such strain, regardless of high pay. This man and many other employers like him are contributing to absenteeism.

We could go on indefinitely with other examples of absenteeism that is involuntary on the part of the workers and for which inefficient management and poor Government planning are responsible. But the point is that we get nowhere by blame and accusations, whether these are directed against employers, against Government or against labor.

That isn't the way to reduce absenteeism. The way to do it is to remove its causes. And we feel that if workers' housing and diet were improved, if transportation to the job were made more efficient, if hours of work were kept within reasonable limits, if materials and tools were on hand when the worker reports on the job, if America's war production soldiers were given the credit they deserve for their service to their country instead of constant abuse, absenteeism would cease to be a problem.

House Urges NLRB To Drop Kaiser Case; AFL Warns Board To Comply 'Or Else'

Green Declares Agency Will Forfeit All Claim To Labor Support If It Persists

Washington, D. C.—President William Green of the American Federation of Labor, chief spokesman of the National Labor Relations Board as a public duty to comply with the recommendations of the House sub-committee on Naval Affairs which has just completed an investigation of the shipbuilding situation on the Pacific Coast.

This committee warned in its report, made public on Friday, that the proceedings begun by the NLRB against the Kaiser shipyards at Portland constitute a "real threat to war production." The controversy, the committee said, may spread to other shipyards, upset the shipyard stabilization agreement and cause many violations of the no-strike policy. The committee recommended that the National Labor Relations Board reconsider its action and take into consideration the danger to war production and the national security. It further recommended that all closed-shop agreements with recognized labor organizations, such as the agreement between AFL unions and the Kaiser management which is involved in the NLRB case, be "frozen for the duration of the war."

Mr. Green declared:

"It is the public duty of the National Labor Relations Board to comply with the recommendations of the House Committee which were made after careful and unbiased study of actual conditions. Everyone else in the nation knows there is a war going on. The National Labor Relations Board must also take cognizance of this fact and guide itself accordingly.

"The issue involved in the Kaiser case is not an accident one. It is a matter of life and death to our soldiers overseas who must depend on the supplies brought to them in the fleets of Liberty Ships built in the Kaiser shipyards. Any action from whatever source which interferes with the production of these Liberty Ships constitutes an aid and comfort against America's war effort. Surely no Government agency should place itself in such an indefensible position.

"Yet this is exactly what will happen unless the National Labor Relations Board heeds the recommendations of the House Sub-Committee on Naval Affairs — recommendations which the American Federation of Labor heartily supports.

"If the National Labor Relations Board fails to comply with these recommendations, it will forfeit all claims to the respect and support of the American people and American labor."

The text of that portion of the House committee's report dealing with the Kaiser situation follows:

"Generally speaking, your committee found a stabilized labor condition, insofar as the practical application of wages and hours, union rules, and other regulations were concerned. We believe this to be due primarily to the fact that there exists on the West Coast, after many conferences between the shipbuilders, the workers, and the Government, what is known as a Master Agreement which has done much to stabilize and minimize labor difficulties.

"Interference with Agreement—Recently, and since this Master Agreement was signed, the National Labor Relations Board has initiated an action against a Portland shipyard, calling for a hearing on a jurisdictional question between a rival union and the union which had a closed-shop agreement with the shipbuilder. Without going into detail, we feel that the calling of such a hearing at this time may seriously disorganize other yards on the Pacific Coast and constitutes a real threat to war production. The controversy could easily spread to other yards, upset the master agreement, and cause many violations of the so-called no-strike, no-work-stoppage policy underlying the Master Agreement. Your committee feels deep concern over the possible repercussions of this action. We recommend, in order to eliminate discontent and lack of harmony, that the National Labor Relations Board reconsider its orders and, in its discretion, review all the surrounding facts and circumstances, including the threat that is entailed to war production and the national security. It is further recommended that all existing closed-shop agreements with recognized labor organizations be frozen for the duration of the war."

Green Blasts Anti-Labor Drive In States As Ruse To By-Pass FDR And Hit War Production Program

O'Daniel Takes A Licking

Washington, D. C.—Senator W. Lee O'Daniel, of Texas, who is leading the anti-labor legislative drive in the Southern States with the support of the dastardly "Christian American Association," suffered a crushing defeat in the House of his campaign.

The Oklahoma State Legislature, after being personally addressed by Senator O'Daniel, killed two measures that he hysterically advocated and adopted a resolution praising organized labor and the Roosevelt administration.

The Oklahoma House Committee on Arbitration and Labor buried O'Daniel's so-called "anti-violence" bill by a vote of 18 to 6. This is the bill adopted in Texas and thereafter espoused throughout the South by the "Christian American Association."

At the same time, the Oklahoma State Senate rejected another O'Daniel proposal which would prohibit unions from contributing to political causes.

The Railway Labor Executives' Association, meanwhile, joined the AFL fight to expose the false propaganda of the "Christian American Association" which, from its headquarters in Houston, has been deluging State Legislatures with "literature" attacking organized labor.

Smarting under their defeat, the sponsors of anti-labor legislation undertook a newspaper advertising campaign smearing the record and the war contributions of American workers.

To offset these attacks, the various State Federations of Labor girded themselves for a bitter fight against the flood of vicious anti-labor bills pouring into the State Legislatures.

In Kansas, reactionary interests pushed a bill which would convert nearly every legitimate labor activity into a crime punishable by prison and heavy fines. The State Administration refused to support this proposal but presented an almost equally dangerous bill placing restrictions on strikes and picketing but supposedly balancing these with a ban on employer "unfair labor practices."

The Kansas Federation of Labor charged that the anti-labor bills are sponsored chiefly by the misnamed "Associated Industries," which recently circularized employers in the State to raise a "war chest" to help put over anti-labor legislation.

Another bill, aimed at breaking union organizations by placing them under strict government control and regulation, has been introduced in almost identical language in more than a dozen State Legislatures. This bill would require unions to register with the State, file lists of members, submit financial statements and give other data which anti-labor employers could use to wreck labor organizations.

Still another anti-union dodge, incorporated in legislation now pending in a number of States, would limit union dues and initiation fees, list qualifications for union officials and restrict free operations of trade unions in other respects.

The "model" bill along these lines is before the Texas State Legislature, the apparent breeding ground for the legislative attacks on labor on the present scale. The Texas bill would outlaw the closed shop and require that 75 per cent of a union's income remain in the State.

Other States where dangerous anti-labor measures are pending include Ohio, California, Iowa, Pennsylvania, Massachusetts and practically every State in the South.

All State Federations Are Urged To Guard Against Dangerous Legislation

Washington, D. C.—President William Green of the American Federation of Labor charged that reactionary interests, facing certain defeat of anti-labor legislation in Congress, have launched a concerted campaign to carry out their objectives by the enactment of similar bills in the various state legislatures.

This is an attempt to "by-pass" President Roosevelt and to obstruct him in the direction of the war production program, Mr. Green warned.

He urged all State Federations of Labor to be on the alert for such dangerous bills and to exert all their power to defeat them.

Mr. Green's statement follows:

"War production was more than quadrupled during 1942, the first year of the war. This year labor faces the heavy task of doubling last year's staggering volume of war production. We are confident we can attain this goal, which is vital to victory, if the freedom of American workers are not restricted and if the hands of the trade unions that represent them are not tied by vicious legislation.

"The splendid production achievements of 1942 were made possible by the hard work of American workers and the all-out cooperation of their trade unions with every program initiated by the government.

"The Congress of the United States understands this and so do the officials of our federal government, led by our Commander-in-Chief, President Roosevelt. There, we are confident that the anti-labor bills now pending in Congress will meet the defeat that they merit.

"Apparently, the selfish, reactionary interests who are the real sponsors of these bills also are aware of this fact. That is why they have now launched a concerted campaign to obtain enactment of similar laws in the state legislatures.

"This is equivalent to stabbing the government and the labor movement in the back. It is an attempt to bypass the President and the leaders of our Army and Navy and erect obstacles on the home front which will hinder them in the successful prosecution of the war.

"The American Federation of Labor does not question the right or the duty of state legislatures to adopt laws which in their judgment are necessary for the welfare of the citizens they represent. But we do protest most vigorously against the false and misleading propaganda with which the enemies of labor are deluging members of state legislatures in an effort to induce them to take piecemeal action on issues which are a unit—accuracy must be decided by the federal authorities.

"State legislatures are not in a position to know the facts with regard to the war production program as well as the heads of the War and Navy Departments, the War Production Board and the President, himself. We appeal to them, therefore, not to obstruct the war production program by hasty legislation but to give our Commander-in-Chief and his trusted aides a free hand in directing it.

"At the same time, the American Federation of Labor calls upon all of its affiliated State Federations of Labor to keep ever on the alert against proposed state legislation which, under cover of supposedly patriotic motives, attempts to hamstring labor. We urge our State Federations of Labor to report all their power to defeat such bills."

22 AFL Workers Honored By WPB For Ideas To Speed Up War Output

Washington, D. C.—War Production Drive Headquarters paid tribute to organized labor in its announcement of cash awards totaling $18,000 to 22 workers in key war plants under conditions set by the American Federation of Labor unions.

The workers were selected for their suggestions for increased output of war goods. A third of the group, selected from among thousands of workers, won Certificates of Individual Production Merit and the remainder received Letters of Honorable Mention.

Before making their awards letters to contribute practical suggestions, said Wp Production Chief Donald Nelson, "management-labor production committees and thence to Washington."

"Honors were almost equally divided between AFL and CIO representation in labor-management committees which submitted these prize-winning suggestions," said War Production Chief Nelson.

The AFL workers cited and brief descriptions of their prize-winning ideas follow. Those who won Certificates of Individual Production Merit are:

Edward Walter Stepp, setup man at American Type Founders, Inc., in Elizabeth, N. J. (under agreement with AFL Federal Union), whose suggestion of a new way to form the dies in a part saves 20 minutes on each piece.

Edward Dorsen, shell department foreman at Harnischfeger Corporation, Milwaukee Works (under contract with both the Patternmakers and Machinist unions), for giving national-ly